

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

Honorable Ralph Logan County Attorney Tom Green County San Angelo. Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. 0-4573

Re: Whether the San Angelo Independent School District has the authority to purchase a building site for the San Angelo Junior College out of the General Tax Funds levied for the maintenance of the San Angelo Public Schools, provided the 20% limit is not exceeded. And related questions.

Your letter of April 29, 1942, requesting an opinion from this department has been received and carefully considered. We quote from this letter:

"An opinion has been requested of my office concerning the legality of the purchase of land by the San Angelo Independent School District as a building site for the San Angelo Junior College. The San Angelo Junior College was organized and established in 1929, and has been maintained by the San Angelo Independent School District since that time, under the provisions of Article 2815h, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes. Recently the space now occupied by the College has become inadequate in the opinion of the trustees, and the Board preceded to purchase a site just outside of the city limits and the limits of the San Angelo Independent School District."

Upon this statement of facts you have asked the two following questions:

"1. Has the San Angelo Independent School District the authority to purchase a building site for the San Angelo Junior College out of the general tax funds levied for the maintenance of the San Angelo Public Schools, provided the 20% limit is not exceeded?

"2. Assuming the trustees of the San Angelo Independent School District have the authority to purchase a building site, do the statutes contemplate the purchase of a site outside of the limits of the school district?"

The administration and control of a junior college established and maintained by an independent school district is vested in the Board of Education of such district and such Board has all of the powers given them under the terms of the Act providing for the establishment and maintenance of Junior Colleges by independent school districts and also the duties and powers of the trustees of an independent school district. See Article 2815h, Section 4, Vernon's Annotated Texas Civil Statutes.

The Junior College District has power to issue bonds for construction of buildings and purchase of sites therefor and to levy necessary taxes to retire such bonds, and to levy and collect taxes for support and maintenance of the Junior College. Article 2815h, Section 7, Vernon's Annotated Texas Civil Statutes. This statute further provides for an election authorizing the issuance of such bonds and levy of such taxes.

The statutes provide. however, that the Board of Trustees of an independent school district may set aside 20% of the taxes collected for the maintenance of a Junior College creeted in said district without the necessity of an election, provided that such district had been using such funds for Junior College purposes prior to October 1, 1936. See Article 2815h, Section 7a. Vernon's Annotated Texas Civil Statutes. In view of the statement of facts given by you, we are assuming that Article 2815h, Section 7a is applicable to the San Angelo Independent School District and the San Angelo Junior College.

The above cited and related statutes regulating establishment and maintenance of Junior Colleges being silent upon the exact question asked by you, we find it necessary to refer to the general laws conferring powers on trustees of independent school districts and decisions construing such laws.

Article 2827, Section 2, Vernon's Annotated Texas Civil Statutes, reads in part as follows:

"Local school funds from district taxes, tuition fees of pupils not entitled to free tuition and other local sources may be used for the purposes enumerated for state and county funds and for purchasing appliances and supplies, for the payment of insurance premiums, janitors and other employees, for buying school sites, buying, building and repairing and renting school houses, and for other purposes necessary in the conduct of the public schools to be determined by the Board of Trustees, the accounts and vouchers for county districts to be approved by the county superintendent; provided, that when the State available school fund in any city or district is sufficient to maintain the schools thereof in any year for at least eight months, and leave a surplus, such surplus may be expended for the purposes mentioned herein."

Article 2784, Vernon's Annotated Texas Civil Statutes, authorizes the trustees of an independent school district to levy taxes and issue bonds, after an election for such purpose, to construct buildings and purchase sites therefor.

Article 2749, Vernon's Annotated Texas Civil Statutes contains the following language:

". . . . provided, that the trustees, in making contracts with teachers, shall not create a deficiency debt against the district."

The case of Love v. Rockwall Independent School District, Texas Civil Appeals, 194 S.W. 659, involved a state of facts in which an election had been held in a newly created independent school district to determine whether trustees should levy and collect an annual tax not to exceed 50ϕ on the \$100 valuation "for the support and maintenance of public free schools therein". After the election the trustees levied a tax of 35ϕ per \$100 valuation for support and maintenance of the schools and a tax of 15ϕ per \$100 valuation to pay outstanding bonded indebtedness on buildings of common school districts taken over by independent school districts. The court enjoined the collection of the tax holding that the 15ϕ tax for bonds on old buildings was not "support and maintenance" as that term was used in the election. The court used the following language in its opinion.

"We have found in our reports no opinions construing the term 'support and maintenance of the public free schools' as used at the election. 'Maintain' and 'support' are synonymous and in a general sense mean 'to hold in an existing state or condition'. (Century dictionary) In our statutes relating to school taxes 'maintain' is used in contradistinction to debts. Article 2857 Vernon's Sayles Civil Statutes. There it is said that the

county school trustees may submit to the voters two questions. One being whether they shall levy and collect a special tax for the 'maintenance of schools' and another being whether they shall levy and collect a special tax for the purchase of site and the construction etc. of public free school buildings. In other jurisdictions it has been ruled that support used in reference to common schools means continuing regular expenditures for the maintenance of said schools so that a fund for the support of said schools cannot be used for purchasing a school site or constructing a building thereon. Sheldon v. Purdy, 17 Washington, 135, 49 Pac. 228; Roach v. Gooding, 11 Idaho, 244, 81 Pac. 642. If then a fund voted for the support of the common school may not be used to purchase a site and build a school house, neither may such a fund be used for the purpose of paying the debt owing therefor as in the case at bar. Such conclusion, we believe, to be sound in principle and reason."

We believe that the answer to your first question is controlled by the case of Madeley, et al. v. Trustees of Conroe Independent School District, Texas Civil Appeals, 130 S.W. (2d) 929 (writ of error dismissed--correct judgment). The Conroe Independent School District had a sufficient surplus in its maintenance fund to operate the school for a year without the levy of a maintenance tax. The trustees levied a maintenance tax and contracted to erect needed buildings with the surplus on hand. A taxpayer sued to enjoin the collection of the tax and also to enjoin payment for construction of buildings out of surplus maintenance funds. In affirming a judgment of the trial court denying the injunction prayed for the Court used the following language:

"Construing Articles 2784 and 2827 in pari materia the local tax levied and collected by the trustees of an independent school district for the maintenance of the school can be used only for the purposes of maintenance to the extent needed for that purpose, and the tax collected under Section 2 of Article 2784 can be used only to recall bonds voted for the erection of a school building etc. to the extent that it is needed for that purpose. We think this conclusion on application of the rule of in pari materia has support in the holding of Love vs. Rockwall Independent School District, Texas Civil Appeals, 194 S.W. 659, that the term 'maintenance' of schools does

not include the cost of construction of school buildings.

.

"Its allocation to the maintenance fund was by legislative edict for the purpose of supporting and maintaining the public free school. When that purpose has been effectuated the fund is no longer subject to the control of the statutes for the purpose of the statutes has been fully effectuated. If and when the statutes cease to control the fund then it becomes a constitutional fund and not a statutory fund and may be used by the trustees for the constitutional purpose; one of the constitutional purposes is 'the erection and equipment of school buildings' within the district. What we have said is in full recognition of the legal proposition that the fund collected for the support and maintenance of the public free schools, to the extent that it is needed for that purpose cannot be diverted to any other purpose.

Opinion No. 0-1387 of this department holds that an independent school district may use any surplus fund in its local maintenance fund for the purpose of erecting a school building, and may issue its evidence of indebtedness in contemplation of current revenue; but whatever the form of said evidence of indebtedness the Board of Trustees is not authorized to create a deficiency debt against said fund for future years and the person advancing such money must look solely to the surplus funds accumulated for the year said obligation was created and not to the revenue of subsequent years, the time for payment not being controlling. A copy of this opinion is attached hereto and we refer you to the authorities cited therein.

In view of the foregoing, you are advised, in answer to your first question, that the San Angelo Independent School District has authority to purchase a building site for the San Angelo Junior College out of the general tax funds levied for the maintenance of the schools of the San Angelo Independent School District, provided the 20% limit allowed in Article 2815h, Section 7a is not exceeded, and provided further that such purchase is made by use of surplus funds and a deficiency debt is not thereby created against the district.

In considering your second question we direct your attention to the statutes heretofore set out, and in addition the following:

Article 2815h, Section 6, reads as follows:

"The location of the Junior College within the Junior College District shall be determined by the Junior College Board, as provided for in Sections herein. The Junior College Board shall make a selection of the location of the Junior College after its establishment has been authorized as provided in this Act."

The trustees of a school district may exercise their discretion and best judgment in the selection of a site for a school building. Quoting from 37 Texas Jurisprudence, page 950:

"School trustees ordinarily have the exclusive control and management of school property and exclusive possession thereof in exercising this discretion they may locate and construct district buildings upon such sites and in accordance with such plans and specifications as in their judgment may seem proper. And in the absence of a showing of corruption, fraud or bad faith their discretion will not be reviewed."

In Davis vs. Hemphill, Texas Civil Appeals, 243 S.W. 691, it was held that the trustees of an independent school district in determining that a new school site should be selected and in purchasing same and providing for the erection of a building thereon acted within their legal authority.

Even though the trustees seem to be vested with wide discretion in the selection of a site for a school building, we find no statute authorizing the trustee of either a common school district or an independent school district to purchase land for a building site outside the school district. The term "within the limits" of such districts is used in Article 2802b, Section 2, providing for taxation for building purposes in independent school districts including a large city, and also in Article 2784, Section 2, authorizing trustees of an independent school district to construct buildings. Article 2802e, Section 1, Vernon's Annotated Texas Civil Statutes which authorizes school districts to purchase buildings and grounds for constructing gymnasia, stadia, or other recreational facilities provides for the purchase of such buildings or grounds "located within or without the district or city". Undoubtedly the Legislature realized that the best site for the location of a stadium or similar recreational facilities would probably be at a distance from the heavily populated section of a city or school district and therefore

provided in this statute for same being located within or without the district.

Article 2815h, Section 6, heretofore set out, empowers the Junior College Board to determine the location of the Junior College within the Junior College District. We believe that the wording of this statute excludes any inference that the Board of Trustees has authority to purchase a site for a junior college building outside the school district. Your second question is therefore answered in the negative.

Trusting that the foregoing fully answers your inquiries, we are

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By s/Cecil D. Redford Cecil D. Redford Assistant

CDR:ff:wc Encl.

APPROVED MAY 15, 1942 s/Gerald C. Mann ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Approved Opinion Committee By s/BWB Chairman